# Potentiometric Determination of the Apparent Dissociation Constants of Some Dicarboxylic Acids in Various Hydroorganic Media

## Hassan A. Azab,\*,† Iman T. Ahmed,‡ and Mohamed R. Mahmoud§

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Minia University, El-Minia, Egypt, and Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

The apparent dissociation constants of malic acid (hydroxybutanedioic acid), tartaric acid (2,3dihydroxybutanedioic acid), phthalic acid (1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid), and succinic acid (butane-1,4dioic acid) were determined at 25 °C and ionic strength I = 0.10 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> KNO<sub>3</sub> by potentiometric pH titration in pure water and water + methanol, water + ethanol, water + N,N-dimethylformamide, water + dimethyl sulfoxide, and water + acetonitrile.  $pK_a$  values increase with an increase in the concentration of the organic solvents. These results are discussed in terms of solvent characteristics. Solvent basicity and stabilization of the conjugate acid free base by hydrogen-bonding interactions in hydroorganic solvent media relative to pure aqueous media as well as proton–solvent interaction play an important role in the acid dissociation equilibrium.

### Introduction

The determination of the apparent dissociation constants of an acid in mixtures of organic oxygen compounds with water provides useful results for the theoretical understanding of the ionization process in these media. Tartaric acid, malic acid, phthalic acid, and succinic acid and their alkali-metal salts have been of particular use as buffers for pH measurements in aqueous media as well as for pH\* measurements in mixed solvents (Perrin and Dempsey, 1979). There have been extensive studies on the dissociation constants of acids in various mixed and nonaqueous solvents (Azab, 1992, 1993a,b; Azab et al., 1995; Benoit et al., 1991; Papanatasiou et al., 1984; Amira et al., 1987; Dash et al., 1987). In this paper we determined the apparent dissociation constants of the biologically important dicarboxylic acids, malic, tartaric, phthalic, and succinic, by potentiometric pH titrations in pure water and various water + methanol, water + ethanol, water + dimethylformamide, water + dimethyl sulfoxide, and water + acetonitrile mixtures containing different mass fractions of the organic solvent ranging between 0.0 and 0.55. The dependence of pK<sub>a</sub> values on the composition of the solvent mixtures has been investigated in order to examine solute + solvent interactions.

#### **Experimental Section**

**Chemicals.** Malic acid (hydroxybutanedioic acid), tartaric acid (2,3-dihydroxybutanedioic acid), phthalic acid (1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid), and succinic acid (butane-1,4-dioic acid) were BDH analytical grade and were further purified (Perin and Dempsey,1979). We also determined, by potentiometric pH titration, the molecular weights of these acids to verify/determine purity, especially for acidic/ basic contaminants. The purity averaged 99.50% for all four compounds, with a standard deviation of 0.05%. The dried solvents used, methanol, ethanol, *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide, dimethyl sulfoxide, and acetonitrile, were of high purity (analytical reagent or spectro grade products). All solvents were used without further purification. Carbonate-free KOH was prepared and standardized by titration with standard potassium hydrogen phthalate. The molarity of HNO<sub>3</sub> was determined by titration with standard KOH solution. Generally, dilute solutions were prepared by appropriate dilution of the stock. The solvents were prepared by mixing weighed quantities of water and cosolvent.

Procedure. pH potentiometric measurements were made on solutions in a double-walled glass vessel at 25  $\pm$ 0.1 °C with a commercial Fischer combined electrode. The pH was measured with an Orion model 701A digital pHmeter (accurate to  $\pm 0.005$  units). The instrument was standardized against standard buffers of pH 4.01 (phthalate buffer) and 9.20 (borate buffer). The instrument was rechecked after each experiment. The electrode system was calibrated in an aqueous medium in terms of hydrogen ion concentration instead of activities. Thus, all the constants determined in this work are concentration constants. Calibration of the electrode system was done by the MAGEC program (May et al., 1985) using the data of titration of nitric acid with potassium hydroxide, both of known concentration, under the same temperature and medium conditions. During the MAGEC calculation the  $E^{\circ}$  of the electrode system was determined in aqueous medium. Also the autoprotolysis constant of water,  $K_{w}$ , was refined. This refined Kw value is necessary as an adjustable parameter in  $pK_a$  calculation in pure water. The temperature was controlled by a Fischer Scientific Isotemp Refrigrated Circulator Model 9000 water thermostat, and it was maintained within  $\pm 0.1$  deg. Purified nitrogen was bubbled through the solution to maintain an inert atmosphere. Efficient stirring of the solution was achieved with a magnetic stirrer. All test solutions (5  $\times$  10  $^{-3}$  mol dm  $^{-3}$ carboxylic acid + 0.0127 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> HNO<sub>3</sub>) were prepared in a constant ionic medium, 0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> KNO<sub>3</sub>, by mixing the appropriate amounts of dicarboxylic acids, nitric acid, potassium nitrate, and the proportion of the different organic solvents studied.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Suez Canal University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Minia University. <sup>§</sup> Assiut University.

Table 1. Refined  $pK_a^*$  (Apparent Dissociation Constant) Values of Malic Acid in Different Mass Fractions *w* for *w*Organic Solvent + (1 - *w*)Water Mixtures at (25.0 ± 0.1) °C and I = 0.10 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> KNO<sub>3</sub>

organic solvent	W	pK <sub>a1</sub> * <sup>a</sup>	р <i>К</i> а2* а		
methanol	0.00	$3.29\pm0.03$	$4.73\pm0.03$		
	0.20	$3.64\pm0.03$	$5.37\pm0.04$		
	0.30				
	0.40	$4.50\pm0.04$	$6.39\pm0.03$		
	0.55	$5.01\pm0.03$	$7.14\pm0.04$		
ethanol	0.00	$3.29\pm0.03$	$4.73\pm0.03$		
	0.20	$3.58\pm0.04$	$5.25\pm0.03$		
	0.30				
	0.40	$3.98\pm0.04$	$5.41\pm0.03$		
	0.55	$4.45\pm0.03$	$5.96 \pm 0.03$		
DMF	0.00	$3.29\pm0.03$	$4.73\pm0.03$		
	0.20	$3.87\pm0.04$	$541 \pm 0.04$		
	0.30				
	0.40	$4.38\pm0.03$	$6.11\pm0.04$		
	0.55	$4.83\pm0.03$	$6.60\pm0.04$		
DMSO	0.00	$3.29\pm0.03$	$4.73\pm0.03$		
	0.20	$3.68\pm0.03$	$5.17\pm0.04$		
	0.30				
	0.40	$4.10\pm0.03$	$5.68 \pm 0.04$		
	0.55	$4.51\pm0.03$	$6.30\pm0.03$		
acetonitrile	0.00	$3.29\pm0.03$	$4.73\pm0.03$		
	0.20	$4.10\pm0.03$	$5.80\pm0.03$		
	0.30				
	0.40	$4.89\pm0.03$	$6.50\pm0.04$		
	0.55	$5.40\pm0.03$	$6.81 \pm 0.03$		

 ${}^{a}pK_{a}^{*} = \text{corrected } pK_{a}$  values according to Douhéret (1967, 1968)  $\pm$  uncertainties (refer to statistically determined uncertainities at small 95% confidence intervals).

The concentration of hydrogen ion was decreased by the addition of potassium hydroxide, prepared in the same medium used for the test solution. At each mixture, at least 6 titrations (35 measurements each) were performed. The concentration of free hydrogen ion,  $C_{H^+}$ , at each point of the titration was calculated from the measured electromotive force (emf), *E*, of the cell RE/TS/GE (RE and GE denote the reference and glass electrodes, respectively, and TS is the test solution) using the Nernst equation

$$E = E^{\circ} + Q \log C_{\mathrm{H}^+} \tag{1}$$

where  $E^{\circ}$  is a constant that includes the standard potential of the glass electrode. It is to be assumed that the activity coefficient is constant, an assumption usually justified by performing the experiments with a medium of high ionic strength (0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> KNO<sub>3</sub>). Values for  $K_w$  for water in water + organic solvent systems have been taken from the literature (Bates, 1964; Woolley et al., 1970; Woolley and Hepler, 1972; Gutbezahl et al., 1953; Harned et al., 1939).

The pH-meter readings have been corrected in accordance with the method described by Douhéret (1967, 1968). This was carried out to account for the difference in basicity, relative permittivity, and ion activity in partially aqueous solutions relative to pure solvent. The protonation constants were then determined by use of the Bjerrum function (Bjerrum, 1921)

$$\bar{n} = H_{\rm T} - h + (K_{\rm w}/h)/A_{\rm T} = \beta_1 h + 2\beta_2 h^2/1 + \beta_1 h + \beta_2 h^2$$
 (2)

which is calculated from the experimental quantities, h, the total concentrations of titratable hydrogen ion  $H_{\rm T}$ , and the total reagent concentration  $A_{\rm T}$ . The p $K_{\rm a}$  values were determined from the overall protonation constants  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  calculated by the linearization method of Irving and Rossotti (1953). Initial estimates of p $K_{\rm a}$  values were refined with the ESAB2M computer program (De Stefano

Table 2. Refined  $pK_a^*$  (Apparent Dissociation Constant) Values of Tartaric Acid in Different Mass Fractions *w* for *w*Organic Solvent + (1 - *w*)Water Mixtures at (25.0 ± 0.1) °C and I = 0.10 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> KNO<sub>3</sub>

e unu i ono m			
organic solvent	W	pK <sub>a1</sub> * <sup>a</sup>	р <i>К</i> <sub>а2</sub> * а
methanol	0.00	$2.90\pm0.03$	$4.10\pm0.03$
	0.20	$3.18\pm0.03$	$4.59\pm0.03$
	0.30	$3.59\pm0.03$	$4.79\pm0.04$
	0.40	$\textbf{3.80} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$5.19 \pm 0.02$
	0.55		
ethanol	0.00	$2.90\pm0.03$	$4.10\pm0.03$
	0.20	$3.00\pm0.03$	$4.49\pm0.03$
	0.30	$3.49\pm0.04$	$4.59\pm0.03$
	0.40		
	0.55		
DMF	0.00	$2.90\pm0.03$	$4.10\pm0.03$
	0.20	$3.43\pm0.04$	$4.89 \pm 0.03$
	0.30		
	0.40	$4.05\pm0.03$	$5.29 \pm 0.03$
	0.55		
DMSO	0.00	$2.90\pm0.03$	$4.10\pm0.03$
	0.20	$3.29\pm0.03$	$4.86\pm0.03$
	0.30		
	0.40	$3.79\pm0.03$	$5.10\pm0.04$
	0.55	$4.10\pm0.03$	$5.38 \pm 0.03$
acetonitrile	0.00	$2.90\pm0.03$	$4.10\pm0.03$
	0.20	$3.69\pm0.03$	$4.82\pm0.04$
	0.30		
	0.40	$3.98 \pm 0.03$	$5.21\pm0.04$
	0.55	$4.10\pm0.03$	$5.38 \pm 0.03$

 ${}^{a} p K_{a}^{*} = \text{corrected } p K_{a}$  values according to Douhéret (1967, 1968) ±uncertainties (refer to statistically determined uncertainties at small 95% confidence intervals).

et al., 1987) by minimizing the error squares sum:

$$U_{\rm v} = \sum_{i} W_i (V_i - V_{\rm calcd,i})^2 \tag{3}$$

where  $V_i$  and  $V_{\text{calcd},i}$  are experimental and calculated volumes of the titrant for every point *i* of the titration curve. The weight is calculated by

$$1/W_i = S_i^2 = S_V^2 + (\delta V_i \delta E_j)^2 S_E^2$$
(4)

where  $S_{i}$ ,  $S_{V}$ , and  $S_{\rm E}$  are estimated variance and estimates of standard deviation in titrant volume and potential, respectively. Titrant volume,  $V_{\rm calcd, b}$  can be calculated from an explicit equation (Arena et al., 1979). The program ESAB2M minimizes eq 3 by using the Gauss–Neuton nonlinear least-squares method (Dixon, 1972). Our calculation has been performed with a Gaussian error in V of  $S_V = 0.005$ . In our potentiometric pH titrations we put  $E^{\circ}$ = 0 (formal potential of the electrodic couple) in the input instructions, since the program reads in pH in this case.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The  $pK_a$  values of malic, tartaric, phthalic, and succinic acids in different solvent mixtures are given in Tables 1–4. The calculated  $pK_a$  values of the dicarboxylic acids studied in pure water agree well with the literature values (Perrin and Dempsey, 1979).

*Effect of the Amphiprotic Solvents.* Consider an acid HA at molality *m* in mixed amphiprotic solvent (SH). It is assumed that the permittivity of the mixed solvent is sufficiently large to permit a certain amount of ionization. The proton activity  $a_{\rm H}$ , as a measure of acidity, can be expressed (Bates, 1964) in terms of  $K_{\rm HA}$  and  $K_{\rm SH}$ , which are, respectively, the acidity constants of HA and  $\rm SH_2^+$ :

$$a_{\rm H} = \left[mK_{\rm HA}K_{\rm SH}\gamma_{\rm SH_2}\gamma_{\rm HA}/\gamma_{\rm SH}\gamma_{\rm A^-}\right]^{1/2}$$
(5)

Table 3. Refined  $pK_a^*$  (Apparent Dissociation Constant) Values of Succinic Acid in Different Mass Fractions *w* for *w*Organic Solvent + (1 - *w*) Water Mixtures at (25.0 ± 0.1) °C and I = 0.10 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> KNO<sub>3</sub>

	0.10 mor um	Into3	
organic solven	nt w	р <i>К</i> а1*а	р <i>К</i> а2* а
methanol	0.00	$4.03\pm0.03$	$5.28 \pm 0.03$
	0.20	$4.58\pm0.03$	$5.96 \pm 0.03$
	0.30		
	0.40	$5.08 \pm 0.04$	$6.57\pm0.04$
	0.55	$5.58 \pm 0.03$	$7.21\pm0.03$
ethanol	0.00	$4.03\pm0.03$	$5.28 \pm 0.03$
	0.20	$4.50\pm0.03$	$5.87 \pm 0.03$
	0.30		
	0.40	$5.01\pm0.04$	$6.52{\pm}~0.03$
	0.55	$5.41\pm0.03$	$6.87 \pm 0.03$
DMF	0.00	$4.03\pm0.03$	$5.28 \pm 0.03$
	0.20	$4.66\pm0.04$	$6.19\pm0.03$
	0.30		
	0.40	$5.29 \pm 0.03$	$6.78 \pm 0.03$
	0.55	$5.80 \pm 0.04$	$7.36\pm0.03$
DMSO	0.00	$4.03\pm0.03$	$5.28 \pm 0.03$
	0.20	$4.33\pm0.03$	$5.78 \pm 0.04$
	0.30		
	0.40	$5.17\pm0.03$	$6.64 \pm 0.03$
	0.55	$5.64 \pm 0.04$	$6.99 \pm 0.04$
acetonitrile	0.00	$4.03\pm0.03$	$5.28 \pm 0.03$
	0.20	$5.18 \pm 0.04$	$6.79\pm0.04$
	0.30		
	0.40	$5.73 \pm 0.04$	$7.20\pm0.03$
	0.55	$6.39 \pm 0.04$	$\textbf{8.11} \pm \textbf{0.03}$

 ${}^{a} p K_{a}^{*} =$  corrected  $p K_{a}$  values according to Douhéret (1967, 1968)  $\pm$  uncertainties (refer to statistically determined uncertainties at small 95% confidence intervals).

where  $\gamma_{SH_2^+}$ ,  $\gamma_{HA}$ ,  $\gamma_{SH}$ , and  $\gamma_A^-$  are the activity coefficients of the species  $SH_2^+$ , HA, SH, and A<sup>-</sup>, respectively. The same treatment may be applied for the dissociation of an acid  $H_2A$  in a mixed amphiprotic solvent (SH), where eq 5 can apply for the equilibrium

$$HA^{-} \rightleftharpoons A^{2-} + H^{+}$$
$$K_{HA^{-}} = a_{H^{+}} m_{A}^{2-} \gamma_{A}^{2-} / m_{HA^{-}} \gamma_{HA^{-}}$$
(6)

A decrease in the permittivity usually causes  $\gamma_{SH_2}\gamma_{\gamma SH}$ and  $\gamma_A - / \gamma_{HA}$  to increase, and it is possible that both of these ratios will increase by about the same amount (Hammett, 1928). Hence, a lowering of the permittivity due to addition of the methanol or ethanol may have little effect on the acidity of an unbuffered solution of malic, tartaric, phthalic, or succinic acid. The autoprotolysis constant of water is slightly influenced by addition of methanol or ethanol (the  $pK_a$  of water changes from 14.0 to 14.90 for a 0.50 mass fraction ethanol + water mixture (HA + SH  $\Rightarrow$  SH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> + A<sup>-</sup>). Thus, changing the medium basicity by addition of methanol or ethanol may result in a relatively low stabilization of the conjugate base of the dicarboxylic acids studied compared to that in a pure aqueous medium, thereby causing an increase in the  $pK_a$  value.

Effect of Dipolar Aprotic Solvents (N,N-Dimethylformamide and Dimethyl Sulfoxide). The observed increase in the  $pK_a$  values of the different dicarboxylic acids studied as the solvent is enriched in the dipolar aprotic solvent dimethylformamide or dimethyl sulfoxide can mainly be attributed to expected low stabilization of the conjugate free base of malic, tartaric, phthalic, and succinic acids by a hydrogen bond donated from solvent molecules in dimethylformamide or dimethyl sulfoxide + water mixtures compared to that obtained in a pure aqueous medium. This in turn results in a high  $pK_a$  value.

*Effect of a Low Basic Aprotic Acetonitrile Solvent.* The presence of acetonitrile as coorganic solvent exerts a

Table 4. Refined  $pK_a^*$  (Apparent Dissociation Constant) Values of Phthalic Acid in Different Mass Fractions *w* for *w*Organic Solvent + (1 - *w*)Water Mixtures at (25.0 ± 0.1) °C and I = 0.10 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> KNO<sub>3</sub>

		11103	
organic solven	t w	pK <sub>a1</sub> * <sup>a</sup>	pK <sub>a2</sub> * <sup>a</sup>
methanol	0.00	$2.95\pm0.03$	$5.42\pm0.03$
	0.20	$3.30\pm0.04$	$5.70\pm0.03$
	0.30		
	0.40	$3.60\pm0.04$	$6.50\pm0.03$
	0.55	$3.90\pm0.03$	$6.74 \pm 0.04$
ethanol	0.00	$2.95\pm0.03$	$5.42\pm0.03$
	0.20	$3.20\pm0.03$	$5.60\pm0.04$
	0.30		
	0.40	$3.41\pm0.03$	$6.40\pm0.03$
	0.55	$3.70\pm0.04$	$6.81\pm0.03$
DMF	0.00	$2.95\pm0.03$	$5.42\pm0.03$
	0.20	$3.40\pm0.03$	$5.90\pm0.03$
	0.30		
	0.40	$3.90\pm0.03$	$6.05\pm0.03$
	0.55	$4.20\pm0.03$	$6.35\pm0.04$
DMSO	0.00	$2.95\pm0.03$	$5.42\pm0.03$
	0.20	$3.10\pm0.03$	$5.50\pm0.04$
	0.30		
	0.40	$3.31\pm0.04$	$6.11\pm0.03$
	0.55	$3.90\pm0.03$	$6.47\pm0.03$

 ${}^{a}pK_{a}^{*}$  = corrected  $pK_{a}$  values according to Douhéret (1967, 1968)  $\pm$  uncertainties (refer to statistically determined uncertainties at small 95% confidence intervals).

pronounced effect on the  $pK_a$  values of malic, tartaric, phthalic, and succinic acids as compared to the effect of the other coorganic solvents studied (methanol, ethanol, dimethylformamide, and dimethyl sulfoxide). This behavior can be mainly attributed to the following effects.

(i) Solute–Solvent Interaction Effect. Acetonitrile is considered to be both a poorer acceptor as well as donor of hydrogen bonds compared to water. Thus, one may expect a low stabilization of the free conjugate bases of the dicarboxylic acids studied by hydrogen-bonding interactions in the presence of this coorganic solvent. This leads to a higher  $pK_a$  value in such media in comparison to that obtained in pure aqueous solution.

*(ii)* **Proton–Solvent Interaction Effect.** Since acetonitrile is a weakly basic solvent, one must expect that the H<sup>+</sup> ion becomes less stabilized in the presence of this coorganic solvent due to hydrogen ion–solvent interaction (Bates, 1969).

Thus, increasing the acetonitrile proportion in the aqueous medium results in a high activity coefficient of the proton, i.e., a high  $pK_a$  value.

According to Bates (1964) solvent effects on the dissociation equilibria of the dicarboxylic acids under investigation can be expressed by eq 7, where  $\bar{r}$  is the common radius of

$$pK_a^* - pK_a = 121.6n/\bar{r}(1/\epsilon_2 - 0.0128)$$
 (7)

all the ions,  $\epsilon_2$  is the relative permittivity of the mixed organic solvent, n = 2 for HA,A pairs of the charge type A°B<sup>-</sup>, n = 4 for the charge type A<sup>-</sup>B<sup>2-</sup>, and n = 0 for the charge type A<sup>+</sup>B°, while 0.0128 is the reciprocal of the permittivity of water at 25 °C. The p $K_a$  for dicarboxylic acids as might be expected from eq 7 does indeed increase markedly as the relative permittivity decreases. Thus, the properties of solvents such as relative permittivity (electrostatic effect) and acidic or basic strength play a major but not an exclusive role in the protonation of the different acids investigated. It must be stated that eq 7, in the present form, is valid only at 25 °C.

#### **Literature Cited**

Amira, M. F.; El-Shazly, S. A.; Khalil, M. M. Thermodynamics of electrolytic dissociation. First and second dissociation constants of some dicarboxylic acids in 10% (v/v) acetone. *Thermochim. Acta* **1987**, *115*, 1–10. Arena, G.; Rizzarelli, S.; Sammartano, S.; Rigano, C. A nonlinear least-

- Arena, G.; Rizzarelli, S.; Sammartano, S.; Rigano, C. A nonlinear leastsquares approach to the refinement of all parameters involved in acid-base titrations. *Talanta* **1979**, *26* (1), 1–14.
- Azab, H. A. Studies on the equilibria of O-phthalic and phosphoric acids in mixed dimethylsulfoxide-water mixture (50% w/w). *Talanta* **1992**, *39* (8), 913–917.
- Azab, H. A. Assignment of standard pH values [pH\*(s)] to buffers in 50 mass% methanol+water from 288.15 to 308.15 K. *Talanta* **1993a**, 40 (6), 863–866.
- Azab, H. A. Potentiometric determination of the second stage dissociation constants of some hydrogen ion buffers for biological research in various water+organic solvent mixtures. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1993b, 38, 453-457.
- Azab, H. A.; Ahmed I. T.; Mahmoud, M. R. Potentiometric determination of the dissociation constants of some monocarboxylic acids in various hydroorganic media. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1995**, *40*, 523– 525.
- Bates, R. G. Determination of pH theory and practice; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, 1964.
- Bates, R. G. In *Solute-solvent interaction*; Coetzee, J. F., Ritchie, C. D., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1969; pp 51, 72.
- Benoit, R. L.; Louis, C.; Frechette, M. Solution and ionization of some carboxylic acids in water and dimethyl sulfoxide. *Thermochim. Acta* 1991, 176, 221–232.
- Bjerrum, N. Chemical equilibrium between the thiocyanate chromic complexes. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1921, 119, 179–201; Chem. Abstr. 1922, 16, 2276.
- Dash, U. N.; Mishra, M. K. Thermodynamics of dissociation of dicarboxylic acids in water + dioxane mixtures. *Thermochim. Acta* 1987, 115, 97–110.
- De Stefano, C.; Princi, P.; Rigano, C.; Sammartano, S. Computer analysis of equilibrium data in solution. ESAB2M: an improved version of the ESAB program. *Ann. Chim. (Rome)* **1987**, *77* (7–8), 643–675.
- Dixon, L. C. W. Nonlinear Optimisation; The English Universities Press: London, 1972.
- Douhéret, G. The Dissociation of Organic Compounds in Aqueous Organic Media. I. Determination of the Liquid Junction Potential and the Effect of the Medium on the Hydrogen Ion in These Systems, and the Study of the Dissociation of Some Acid-Base Couples. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1967**, 1412–1419.

- Douhéret, G. Liquid Junction Potentials and Medium Effects in Mixed Solvents (Water-Dipolar Aprotic Solvent). Application to the Standardization of the Glass-Calomel Electrodes System in These Mixtures. Dielectric Properties of These Mixtures. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1968**, 3122–3131.
- Gutbezahl, B.; Grunwald, E. The acidity and basicity scale in the system ethanol-water. The evaluation of degenerate activity coefficients for single ions. *L Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75*, 565–574
- ficients for single ions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1953**, 75, 565–574. Hammett, L. P. The theory of acidity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1928**, 50, 2660–2666.
- Harned, H. S.; Fallon, L. D. The properties of electrolytes in mixtures of water and organic solvents. II. Ionization constant of water in 20, 45 and 70% dioxane-water mixtures. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1939, 61, 2374–2377.
- Irving, H.; Rossotti, H. S. Methods for Computing Successive Stability Constants from Experimental Formation Curves. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 3397–3405.
- May, M. B.; Wiliams, D. R. In *Computational methods for the determination of formation constants*; Leggett, D. J., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1985; pp 37–70.
- Papanatasiou, G.; Stalidis, G.; Jannakoudakis, D. Study of the dissociation of aliphatic dicarboxylic acids and corresponding monocarboxylic acids in water-methanol solutions. Effect of the carboxylic and carboxylate groups on the dissociation equilibria of malonic and succinic acids. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1984**, 9–10 (Pt. 1), 255–259.
- Perrin, D. D.; Dempsey, B. Buffer for pH and metal ion control; Chapman and Hall: London, 1979.
- Woolley, E. M.; Hepler, L. G. Apparent ionization constants of water in aqueous organic mixtures and acid dissociation constants of protonated cosolvents in aqueous solution. *Anal. Chem.* 1972, 44 (8), 1520–1523.
- Woolley, E. M.; Hepler, L. G.; Hurkot, D. G. Ionization constants for water in aqueous organic mixtures. J. Phys. Chem. 1970, 74 (22), 3908–3913.

Received for review November 18, 1996. Accepted February 20, 1997. $^{\otimes}$ 

JE960361N

<sup>®</sup> Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, April 1, 1997.